

#9/12
6-8-03

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/856,352	Applicant(s) FISCHER, PETER	
	Examiner Vickie Kim	Art Unit 1614	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vickie Kim. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Dubois, Phil(Applicant's Rep.). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: Prior art of the record.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant acknowledged the 112 rejection and indicated that claim 9 will be canceled. Regarding art rejection, applicant argues that the pathogenesis for the delirium(in his claims) is different from the delirium found in the prior art of the record(e.g. Rupert et al(abstract)). However, the examiner do not agree on his allegation due to lack of evidence and support. As mentioned in the previous office action, the critical elements required by the instnat claims are well taught by the cited references. For instance, Rupert et al teach that CAS(central anticholinergic syndrome) including delirium can be effectively treated by anticholinergic agent such as physostigmin, which is occurs during postoperative period due to non-anticholinergic intoxication such as anesthetics.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Fax Cover Sheet

Date: 20 Jun 2003

To: Mr. Dubois, Phil	From: Vickie Kim
Application/Control Number: 09/856,352	Art Unit: 1614
Fax No.: 703)685-0573	Phone No.: 703-305-1675
Voice No.: (703) 521-2297	Return Fax No.: 703-746-3165
Re:	CC:
<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> For Comment <input type="checkbox"/> For Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Per Your Request	

Comments:

Interview summary enclosed.

Number of pages 2 including this page

STATEMENT OF CONFIDENTIALITY

This facsimile transmission is an Official U.S. Government document which may contain information which is privileged and confidential. It is intended only for use of the recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited. If this document is received in error, you are requested to immediately notify the sender at the above indicated telephone number and return the entire document in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450